

The DoD Times (Redacted)

 **NEWSLETTER** 

SATURDAY, PUBLISHED 18TH APRIL 2026

ISSUE 119.





Lt Col (Ret) Ryan Sweazey (Retired, Air Force – United States Air Force Academy), President and Founder of the [Walk the Talk Foundation](#), authored this article. (Published on April 18th 2026)

Check out our Podcast, *The Star Chamber*, on: [Apple](#) | [Spotify](#) | [YouTube](#)

The Critical Point of Failure

There is a pattern—clear, repeatable, and deeply troubling—emerging from over 500 cases reviewed at the Walk the Talk Foundation. It is not a pattern of isolated misconduct. It is not even a pattern of flawed individuals. It is a pattern of decision-making under pressure, one that reveals a systemic failure point embedded within leadership structures themselves.

It begins simply enough. Someone witnesses wrongdoing—or becomes a victim of it. They do what we ask of them. They report it.

At this stage, the system still has integrity. The complaint is fresh, the facts are forming, and the opportunity for accountability is intact. But then comes the inflection point—the critical point of failure.

If substantiated, the complaint threatens more than just the individual accused. It threatens the chain of command. It threatens metrics, readiness reports, promotion narratives, and the carefully curated image presented “on the slides.” And somewhere in that chain, a leader—commander, director, or manager—is confronted with what feels like a mutually exclusive choice:

Do what is right.

Or do what is right for me.

Time and again, we are seeing the same outcome. Leaders choose the latter.

Not necessarily out of malice, but out of incentive. The system, as it currently operates, rewards the appearance of control over the reality of accountability. It rewards leaders who keep problems contained, who prevent escalation, who maintain the illusion of cohesion and competence. A substantiated complaint is not seen as evidence of a functioning system—it is seen as a blemish.



So the complaint is minimized. Delayed. Reframed. Quietly redirected. Sometimes it is buried altogether.

And that is the failure.

Not the initial wrongdoing. Not even the reporting. But the moment where leadership has the opportunity to intervene with moral courage—and declines.

[In our previous piece, “Dear Departing GOFO: Spare Us,”](#) we examined a related phenomenon: the tendency of senior leaders—General and Flag Officers in particular—to speak eloquently about moral courage only once the personal risk has evaporated. Once retirement is secured, the message becomes clear, even passionate: do the right thing, no matter the cost.

But where was that message at the critical point?

Where was that example when the cost was real?

The uncomfortable truth is that moral courage is most meaningful precisely when it is most dangerous. It is not demonstrated in speeches, memoirs, or exit interviews. It is demonstrated in that quiet, high-stakes moment when a leader must decide whether to protect the institution’s image or uphold its values.

And too often, the calculation is career-based, not character-based.

This is not merely a leadership flaw; it is a structural one. When leaders believe that telling the truth will harm their advancement, while concealing it will preserve or enhance it, the outcome is predictable. We have created an environment where integrity competes with self-preservation—and self-preservation wins.

If we are serious about reform, we must focus not just on punishing wrongdoing after the fact, but on reshaping the incentives at this critical juncture. Leaders must know—unequivocally—that doing the right thing, even when it reflects poorly in the short term, will not end their careers. In fact, it must be the very thing that defines and advances them.

Until then, we will continue to see the same pattern repeat.

The complaint will be made.

The risk will be assessed.

The moment will arrive.



And at the critical point of failure, we will continue to fail.

Not because we don't know what right looks like—but because we have made it too costly to choose.



If you would like to help us fight these issues, please consider donating to the Walk the Talk Foundation [HERE](#). We greatly appreciate your support. As a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, your donation is 100% tax deductible.

Send this newsletter to your elected officials if you believe they need to correct the issues discussed.

▶ Find your Representative here: <https://www.house.gov/representatives/find-your-representative>

▶ Find your Senator here: <https://www.senate.gov/senators/senators-contact.htm>

Feel free to reach out privately at francescagraham@walkthetalkfoundation.org or in the comments.

PETITIONS: [SIGN THIS PETITION](#) demanding that our leaders in Congress change the DoD's unjust administrative investigatory system.

All our articles are posted on [LinkedIn here](#) and [Online here](#). Be sure to subscribe to the newsletter on LinkedIn and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Facebook](#), and [X \(Twitter\)](#).

Graphic: [Denver Gazette](#)